PERIPHERAL

1-Year Results From the RANGER II SFA Randomized Trial of the Ranger Drug-Coated Balloon

Ravish Sachar, MD,^a Yoshimitsu Soga, MD,^b Mohammad M. Ansari, MD,^c Amane Kozuki, MD,^d Louis Lopez, MD,^e Marianne Brodmann, MD,^f Herman Schroë, MD,^g Vijay S. Ramanath, MD,^h Juan Diaz-Cartelle, MD,ⁱ Thomas Zeller, MD,^j on behalf of the RANGER II SFA Investigators

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES This study sought to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the Ranger drug-coated balloon (DCB) (paclitaxel dose density 2 µg/mm²) for treating superficial femoral artery or proximal popliteal artery lesions.

BACKGROUND Paclitaxel-coated balloon treatment prevents reinterventions, but dose and coating characteristics differ among balloons and necessitate discrete confirmation of safety and effectiveness.

METHODS Patients with symptomatic lower limb ischemia (Rutherford classification 2 to 4) were randomized 3:1 to treatment with the Ranger DCB or standard percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA). Twelve-month primary target lesion patency, freedom from major adverse events (i.e., target lesion revascularization, major amputations, death within 1 month of the index procedure), and patient outcomes were analyzed.

RESULTS Mean lesion length was 82.5 \pm 48.9 mm for the Ranger DCB group (n = 278) and 79.9 \pm 49.3 mm for the control group (n = 98). Ranger DCB was superior to PTA (82.9% [n = 194 of 234] vs. 66.3% [n = 57 of 86]) with observed 12-month primary patency rates yielding a difference of 16.6% (95% confidence interval: 5.5% to 27.7%; p = 0.0013). Noninferior freedom from major adverse events (94.1% [n = 241 of 256] vs. 83.5% [n = 76 of 91]) was demonstrated with a difference of 10.6% (95% confidence interval: 2.5% to 18.8%; noninferiority p < 0.0001). Primary patency rate curves showed significant separation by Kaplan-Meier analysis (log-rank p = 0.0005), with rates of 89.8% and 74.0% estimated at day 365 for the Ranger DCB and PTA cohorts, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS The low-dose Ranger DCB demonstrated significantly better effectiveness than standard PTA through 1 year and a good safety profile. (Ranger[™] Paclitaxel Coated Balloon vs Standard Balloon Angioplasty [RANGER II SFA]; NCT03064126) (J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2021;14:1123-33) © 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Manuscript received December 4, 2020; revised manuscript received March 4, 2021, accepted March 9, 2021.

From the ^aNorth Carolina Heart and Vascular Hospital, UNC-REX Health Care, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA; ^bDepartment of Cardiology, Kokura Memorial Hospital, Kitakyushu, Japan; ^cDivision of Cardiology, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Lubbock, Texas, USA; ^dDivision of Cardiology, Osaka Saiseikai Nakatsu Hospital, Osaka, Japan; ^eCardiovascular Disease, St. Joseph Hospital, Fort Wayne, Indiana, USA; ^fDivision of Angiology, Medical University Graz, Graz, Austria; ^gThoracic and Vascular Surgery, Ziekenhuis Oost-Limburg, Genk, Belgium; ^hDepartment of Cardiology, Texas Health Presbyterian Plano, Plano, Texas, USA; ⁱPeripheral Interventions, Boston Scientific Corporation, Marlborough, Massachusetts, USA; and the ^jDepartment of Angiology, Universitäts-Herzzentrum Freiberg-Bad Krozingen, Bad Krozingen, Germany.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ABI = ankle-brachial index DCB = drug-coated balloon CD-TLR = clinically driven target lesion revascularization MAE = major adverse event PTA = percutaneous transluminal angioplasty TBI = toe-brachial index TLR = target lesion revascularization

aclitaxel-coated balloons have consistently demonstrated improved efficacy (i.e., target lesion patency and reduced clinically driven target lesion revascularization [CD-TLR] rates) compared with uncoated percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) for femoropopliteal peripheral artery disease (1,2), while offering the same procedural flexibility. Twelve-month results from recent randomized controlled trials have all shown significantly improved target lesion primary patency following treatment with a drug-coated balloon (DCB) compared with PTA (3-6), and most longerterm results likewise suggest a sustained difference in reintervention rates (7,8). DCBs have therefore replaced uncoated balloons for endovascular-first strategies (9,10).

SEE PAGE 1134

Performance differences within the DCB class, however, likely stem from differing coating characteristics (11,12). The differing drug doses, excipients, and other characteristics of the coatings on the balloons used in these studies affect release kinetics and thus the efficiency of drug transfer to target tissue, tissue levels, and intraprocedural drug loss (13-15). With a dose density of 2 μ g/mm², the Ranger Paclitaxel-Coated PTA Balloon Catheter (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, Massachusetts) is among the lowest-dose paclitaxel DCBs. A swine study showed lower tissue drug levels following treatment with the Ranger DCB in an in-stent restenosis model than after treatment with the IN.PACT Admiral (Medtronic, Santa Rosa, California; dose density 3.5 μ g/mm²) but similar local neointimal inhibition than with the higher-dose DCB (13). Preclinical rabbit (14) and swine (15) studies of Ranger DCB suggest efficient drug transfer to target vessel tissue with little downstream loss. The combination of lower dose and efficient drug transfer may translate to a therapeutic effect comparable to that of a high dose paclitaxel-coated balloon, as results from the randomized RANGER SFA (Comparison of the Ranger™ Paclitaxel-Coated PTA Balloon Catheter and Uncoated PTA Balloons in Femoropopliteal Arteries) first-in-human (16,17) and COMPARE (Compare Study for the Treatment of Subjects With Symptomatic Femoropopliteal Artery Disease) (18) randomized clinical studies suggest.

The RANGER II SFA (RANGER[™] Paclitaxel Coated Balloon vs Standard Balloon Angioplasty) study expands on the first-in-human and COMPARE studies with a larger sample size and global patient population. The study objective is to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the Ranger DCB for treating superficial femoral artery or proximal popliteal artery lesions. A concurrent pharmacokinetics substudy was conducted to evaluate systemic paclitaxel levels following DCB exposure.

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN AND PARTICIPANTS. The RANGER II SFA (NCT03064126) randomized controlled trial (RCT) is a prospective, multicenter, single-blind superiority study that enrolled patients at 67 community hospitals, academic hospitals, Veterans Affairs hospitals, endovascular office-based laboratories, and referral centers in Austria, Belgium, Canada, Japan, New Zealand, and the United States. Study sites and investigators are listed in the Supplemental Appendix. The concurrent nonblinded single-group pharmacokinetics substudy was conducted at a subset of American sites which also participated in the randomized trial.

The study protocol was approved (or permission to conduct the trial was granted) by the appropriate Institutional Review Board, Ethics Committee, or Research Ethic Board for each study site, and study conduct was consistent with ISO 14155 and principles originating in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Potential subjects provided informed consent prior to undergoing study-specific tests or procedures. Eligibility criteria for the RCT and the pharmacokinetics substudy were identical. Complete inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in the Supplemental Appendix. Patients were at least 20 years of age and had chronic symptomatic lower limb ischemia defined as Rutherford classification (19) 2, 3, or 4 and a target lesion in the native superficial femoral artery or proximal popliteal artery to the P1 segment with a reference vessel diameter \geq 4 mm and \leq 8 mm by visual estimate. Angiographic evidence that the target lesion comprised a single de novo nonstented and nonatherectomy treated or restenotic lesion that was either \geq 70% to 99% stenotic with total lesion length up to 180 mm by visual estimate, or occluded with total lesion length ≤100 mm by visual estimate was required.

If the lesion was restenotic, the most recent PTA treatment must have been more than 3 months prior to enrollment. Previous treatment of the target lesion or vessel with atherectomy or a DCB in the past 12 months, or ever with surgery or a stent (e.g., instent restenosis) were grounds for exclusion.

Patients had to be free of significant inflow disease that could not be treated prior to the target lesion treatment. Patent popliteal (P2 and P3) and infrapopliteal arteries (i.e., at least 1 of 3 vessels patent with <50% stenosis to the ankle or foot) were required. Other key exclusion criteria were chronic renal insufficiency with serum creatinine >2.0 mg/dl within 30 days of the index procedure or treatment with dialysis, presence of severe calcification rendering the lesion undilatable, or use of adjunctive primary treatment modalities (e.g., laser, atherectomy, scoring or cutting balloon, other debulking devices) during the index procedure.

The investigator's imaging assessment was used to determine subject eligibility for the trial, but independent analysis from the Angiographic Core Laboratory (Harvard Medical Faculty Physicians at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts) was used for data analyses. The angiographic core laboratory assessed angiograms captured during the index procedure and any subsequent revascularization procedure up to 12 months following the index procedure.

RANDOMIZATION AND MASKING. For the RCT, treatment was randomly assigned after the patient was found to meet the angiographic eligibility criteria and the target lesion was successfully crossed with a guidewire and successfully pre-dilated. A patient was considered enrolled when the Ranger DCB or standard PTA balloon was introduced into their vasculature. A randomization function in the Electronic Data Collection database was used to assign treatment in a 3:1 ratio (Ranger DCB to standard PTA). Randomization was stratified by site with random permuted blocks of varying sizes.

Patients were masked to treatment assigned and treatment received and were to remain blinded through the completion of all 12-month (primary endpoint) follow-up visits. Study center personnel were trained not to disclose the treatment assignment to patients. Core laboratory (vascular ultrasound and angiography) personnel, the Clinical Events Committee, and individuals involved in data analysis for the sponsor were masked to treatment through the primary endpoint analysis.

PROCEDURES. The over-the-wire, semicompliant Ranger Paclitaxel-coated PTA Balloon Catheter has technical characteristics identical to the Sterling Balloon Catheter (Boston Scientific), but is coated with a formulation of paclitaxel and acetyltributyl citrate excipient yielding a paclitaxel dose density of 2 μ g/mm² (total weight of drug per unit of balloon surface area). The Ranger DCB is compatible with 0.018-inch (0.46-mm) or 0.014-inch (0.36-mm) guidewires and is equipped with a loading tool to protect the drug coating during insertion into the sheath. Balloon diameters of 4.0 to 8.0 mm and lengths of 30, 40, 60, 80, and 100 mm were available for the study. Nominal pressure is 6 atm for all sizes.

Target lesion preparation was required with successful pre-dilatation of optimally sized non-drugcoated balloon(s) prior to randomization (i.e., the selected balloon dilates the vessel lumen at nominal pressure without flow-limiting dissection [\geq Grade D] or need for intervention such as stenting). Ranger DCB use was in accordance with the device's Instructions for Use, which specified that the Ranger DCB diameter should not exceed the diameter of the targeted artery adjacent to the stenosis. Ranger DCB inflation time was 3 min. Post-dilatation was performed at the investigator's discretion. Control treatment was standard PTA with commercially available nonscoring balloons. Treatment was performed according to the individual device labeling. Bare-metal stents could be utilized to treat peri-procedural situations in which adequate results could not be obtained after prolonged low-pressure balloon inflation (i.e., residual stenosis \geq 50% or major $[\geq$ Grade D] flow-limiting dissection).

Anticoagulant and antiplatelet medication prescription was consistent with local clinical practice. Minimum protocol requirements for anticoagulation or antiplatelet therapy applied to all study groups; specific requirements were anticoagulant administration prior to and during the procedure consistent with current clinical practice, and dual antiplatelet therapy for at least 30 days for patients who did not receive a stent and at least 90 days for those who did receive a stent. Single antiplatelet therapy as a minimum was recommended through the duration of trial participation.

CLINICAL FOLLOW-UP. Clinical follow-up visits were scheduled for 1, 6, and 12 months post-procedure. Data collected at these visits included ankle-brachial index (ABI), Rutherford classification, and duplex ultrasonography, medication assessment, and adverse events. Patient outcome measures were the 6-min walk test (6 and 12 months only), Walking Impairment Questionnaire, and the EQ-5D health-related quality of life questionnaire. Follow-up will continue through 5 years.

OUTCOME DEFINITIONS. Primary lesion patency was defined as core laboratory-assessed duplex ultrasound peak systolic velocity ratio ≤ 2.4 at the 12-month visit in the absence of CD-TLR or bypass of the target lesion. Any reintervention at the target lesion after the index procedure was considered TLR (major adverse event [MAE] component, as described subsequently), whereas CD-TLR (primary patency



component) was defined as any reintervention at the target lesion due to recurrent symptoms (i.e., \geq 1 level increase in Rutherford classification) or an ABI or TBI (toe-brachial index) decrease of >0.15 or \geq 20% as compared with the post-procedure ABI or TBI in the treated segment. TBI was allowed in cases of incompressible vessels. Duplex ultrasound assessment was performed by an independent vascular ultrasound core laboratory (VASCORE, Boston, Massachusetts) and TLR was adjudicated by the Clinical Events Committee.

The independent Clinical Events Committee adjudicated all deaths, TLR, target vessel revascularization, and target limb amputations and determined which events qualified as MAEs (i.e., TLR and major amputations through 12 months, all-cause death within 1 month).

Secondary outcomes for the RCT at 12 months included measures of technical and procedural success and clinical outcomes. At each follow-up visit, changes in Rutherford classification were used to assess clinical improvement, with sustained clinical improvement defined as improvement in Rutherford classification from pre-procedure by at least 1 category without the need for TLR. Hemodynamic improvement was defined as achieving ABI \geq 0.90 or improvement of ABI by \geq 0.1 as compared with the pre-procedure value without the need for repeat revascularization.

PHARMACOKINETICS SUBSTUDY. All patients in the pharmacokinetics substudy were treated with the

Ranger DCB. Baseline venipuncture could occur within 30 days prior to the index procedure. Post-treatment laboratory samples were timed after removal of the last Ranger DCB from the vasculature. Venous blood samples were obtained at $10 \pm 5 \text{ min}$, $30 \pm 10 \text{ min}$, $1 \text{ h} \pm 10 \text{ min}$, $3 \text{ h} \pm 10 \text{ min}$, $6 \text{ h} \pm 10 \text{ min}$, $24 \pm 4 \text{ h}$ or $48 \pm 4 \text{ h}$, $7 \pm 1 \text{ days}$, and $30 \pm 7 \text{ days}$. Patients could choose to return for either the 24- or 48-h time point.

Blood samples were shipped to Covance Central Laboratory Services (Indianapolis, Indiana) for independent assessment of paclitaxel levels according to the core laboratory methodology. Paclitaxel levels were analyzed with high-performance liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometric detection. Samples were tested with a limit of quantification of <1 ng/ml.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS. The primary effectiveness hypothesis was that 12-month primary patency for patients treated with the Ranger DCB was superior to that for patients treated with standard PTA. The primary safety hypothesis was that the 12-month MAE-free rate for patients treated with Ranger DCB was noninferior to that for patients treated with standard PTA at a noninferiority margin of -10%. The study was to be considered successful if both the primary effectiveness and primary safety hypotheses showed statistical significance simultaneously, with a prespecified interim analysis planned to be conducted when a minimum of 75% of patients had completed

12-month follow-up. Success criteria were met with the interim analysis and details are provided in the Supplemental Appendix.

Final analysis was performed after all available patients completed 12-month follow-up and included all who signed the informed consent form and were randomized in the RCT, according to the intention-totreat principle. Because the study reached statistical significance based on the interim analysis, p values for comparisons between treatment groups in the final analysis (e.g., chi-square test for discrete variables, 2-sample Student's t-test for continuous variables, log-rank test for time to event variables, unless otherwise noted) were performed for exploratory purposes. Sensitivity analysis for 12-month primary patency was performed by imputing missing patency status at 12 months as patency failure. The Kaplan-Meier product-limit method was used to estimate the event or event-free rates for time-to-event endpoints, namely time to primary patency and time to freedom from TLR. The Kaplan-Meier curve for primary patency is based on the time to event of CD-TLR or 12-month duplex ultrasound patency failure for the full 12-month window up to 395 days. Statistical analyses were performed with SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina), version 9.2 or higher.

RESULTS

PATIENTS. For the RCT, a total of 376 patients were enrolled between March 2017 and August 2018 and randomly assigned to treatment with the Ranger DCB (n = 278) or standard PTA (n = 98). The distribution of enrollment across regions was: 60.6% in the United States and Canada, 27.1% in Japan, 9.8% in Europe, and 2.4% in New Zealand. Ten sites enrolled between 10 and 20 patients, 1 enrolled 26 patients (<7% of total enrollment), and 56 sites enrolled fewer than 10 patients each. The patient flow through 12-month follow-up is shown in Figure 1. Patient disposition based on 12-month visit or evaluable death was available for 93.4% (n = 351of 376) of enrolled patients. A total of 343 patients completed the 12-month follow-up visit. Eight died prior to completing the visit, 6 from the Ranger DCB group and 2 from the control group. The intentionto-treat dataset includes 6 patients who did not meet per protocol criteria due to failure to meet all critical eligibility criteria.

BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics were similar between the randomized study groups as shown in **Table 1**. The Ranger DCB group had a greater prevalence of

TABLE 1 Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Intention- to-Treat Population						
	Ranger DCB (n = 278)	Standard PTA (n = 98)	p Value			
Age, yrs	$\textbf{70.6} \pm \textbf{9.5}$	69.1 ± 10.3	0.1887			
Female	37.8 (105/278)	31.6 (31/98)	0.2769			
Race/ethnicity Hispanic or Latino	7.6 (21/278)	8.2 (8/98)	0.8459			
Caucasian Asian (Japanese)	55.8 (155/278) 27.7 (77/278)	60.2 (59/98) 25.5 (25/98)	0.4444 0.6753			
Black, or African heritage American Indian or Alaska Native	7.2 (20/278) 0.4 (1/278)	4.1 (4/98) 0.0 (0/98)	0.2784 1.0000*			
Other Not disclosed	0.4 (1/278) 1.1 (3/278)	0.0 (0/98) 2.0 (2/98)	1.0000* 0.6086*			
Smoking history			0.0303*			
Current	31.3 (87/278)	45.9 (45/98)				
Previous	54.0 (150/278)	38.8 (38/98)				
Never	14.4 (40/278)	15.3 (15/98)				
Unknown	0.4 (1/278)	0.0 (0/98)				
Diabetes mellitus	42.4 (118/278)	43.9 (43/98)	0.8055			
Type 1	1.7 (2/118)	0.0 (0/43)	1.0000*			
Type 2	96.6 (114/118)	100.0 (43/43)	0.5743*			
Unknown	1.7 (2/118)	0.0 (0/43)	1.0000*			
Current diabetes mellitus treatment						
Diet only	5.1 (6/118)	11.6 (5/43)	0.1648*			
Medically treated	94.1 (111/118)	88.4 (38/43)	0.3063*			
Hyperlipidemia	75.9 (211/278)	79.6 (78/98)	0.4561			
Hypertension	90.3 (251/278)	81.6 (80/98)	0.0232			
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	18.9 (52/275)	21.4 (21/98)	0.5893			
Coronary artery disease	47.5 (131/276)	44.9 (44/98)	0.6619			
Myocardial infarction	16.6 (46/277)	14.4 (14/97)	0.6157			
Congestive heart failure	9.4 (26/277)	9.2 (9/98)	0.9527			
History of renal insufficiency	10.8 (30/278)	5.2 (5/97)	0.1004			
Previous target limb interventions†						
Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty	7.6 (21/278)	5.1 (5/98)	0.4107			
Atherectomy	2.5 (7/278)	3.1 (3/98)	0.7246*			
Drug-coated balloon	1.1 (3/278)	2.0 (2/98)	0.6086*			
Stenting	6.1 (17/278)	2.0 (2/98)	0.1772*			

Values are mean \pm SD or % (n/N). *Two-sided Fisher exact test. †Prior PTA treatment of the target lesion or vessel must have been more than 3 months prior to enrollment. Three subjects had protocol deviations for the exclusion criterion "target lesion or vessel ever treated with a stent or surgery. Target lesion or vessel treated with atherectomy or a DCB in the past 12 months." DCB = drug-coated balloon; PTA = percutaneous transluminal angioplasty.

hypertension, and although the distribution across smoking categories differed between the treatment arms, the overall prevalence of any smoking history was similar between groups (85.3% for the Ranger DCB and 84.7% for standard PTA). The ABI was $0.8 \pm$ 0.2 in both groups. Lesion characteristics according to the angiographic core laboratory are shown in **Table 2**. Baseline between-group differences were noted in the severity of PACSS (Peripheral Arterial Calcium Scoring System) calcification (20) and prevalence of occlusion.

	Ranger DCB $(n = 278)$	Standard PTA (n = 98)	p Value		
Pre-procedure					
Lesion location Proximal SFA Mid-SFA Distal SFA Proximal popliteal Midpopliteal	17.3 (48/278) 52.5 (146/278) 24.8 (69/278) 4.3 (12/278) 1.1 (3/278)	18.4 (18/98) 44.9 (44/98) 32.7 (32/98) 4.1 (4/98) 0.0 (0/98)	0.8054 0.1945 0.1325 1.0000* 0.5707*		
Lesion length, mm	82.5 ± 48.9	79.9 ± 49.3	0.6551		
Reference vessel diameter, mm	5.1 ± 0.9	5.1 ± 0.9	0.7346		
Thrombus Absent Present Not available	97.8 (272/278) 0.7 (2/278) 1.4 (4/278)	99.0 (97/98) 1.0 (1/98) 0.0 (0/98)	0.6817* 1.0000* 0.5764*		
PACSS calcification Grade 0 Grade 1 Grade 2 Grade 3 Grade 4 Not available	35.3 (98/278) 12.6 (35/278) 2.5 (7/278) 36.3 (101/278) 11.5 (32/278) 1.8 (5/278)	22.4 (22/98) 14.3 (14/98) 1.0 (1/98) 52.0 (51/98) 10.2 (10/98) 0.0 (0/98)	0.0194 0.6681 0.6860* 0.0064 0.7240 0.3325*		
TASC II type A B C D	59.4 (165/278) 30.2 (84/278) 9.0 (25/278) 1.4 (4/278)	61.2 (60/98) 30.6 (30/98) 6.1 (6/98) 2.0 (2/98)	0.7451 0.9415 0.3744 0.6531*		
Diameter stenosis (%) <50% 50% to <100% 100% (occlusion)	$\begin{array}{c} 73.7 \pm 16.9 \\ 3.6 \; (10/278) \\ 78.1 \; (217/278) \\ 18.3 \; (51/278) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 78.2 \pm 18.4 \\ 7.1 \ (7/98) \\ 63.3 \ (62/98) \\ 29.6 \ (29/98) \end{array}$	0.0294 0.1609* 0.0040 0.0193		
Post-procedure					
Thrombus Absent Present Not available	98.6 (274/278) 0.7 (2/278) 0.7 (2/278)	93.9 (92/98) 1.0 (1/98) 5.1 (5/98)	0.0226* 1.0000* 0.0147*		
Aneurysm	0.4 (1/278)	1.0 (1/98)	0.4539*		
Perforation	2.2 (6/278)	0.0 (0/98)	0.3461*		
Distal embolus	0.0 (0/278)	0.0 (0/98)	Undet		
Arteriovenous fistula	5.8 (16/278)	7.1 (7/98)	0.6221		
Spasm	0.0 (0/278)	1.0 (1/98)	0.2606*		
Dissection None Grade A Grade B Grade C Grade C Grade D Grade E Grade F Not available	24.5 (68/278) 0.0 (0/278) 45.3 (126/278) 16.5 (46/278) 12.9 (36/278) 0.0 (0/278) 0.0 (0/278) 0.7 (2/278)	35.7 (35/98) 0.0 (0/98) 37.8 (37/98) 16.3 (16/98) 5.1 (5/98) 0.0 (0/98) 0.0 (0/98) 5.1 (5/98)	0.0317 Undef 0.1936 0.9597 0.0321 Undef Undef 0.0147*		
Values are % (n/n) or mean \pm SD. *2-sided Fisher's exact test. PACSS = Peripheral Arterial Calcium Scoring System; SFA = superficial femoral artery; Undef = undefined; other abbreviations as in Table 1.					

In both arms, the most frequently used balloon diameters were 6 mm (46.3% [n = 182 of 393 balloons] Ranger DCB and 45.0% [n = 45 of 100 balloons] PTA) and 5 mm (39.2% [n = 154 of 393] Ranger DCB and

42.0% [n = 42 of 100] PTA). The required predilatation was performed in 100% of procedures, and post-dilatation was performed in 13.3% (n = 37 of 278) of Ranger DCB procedures and 21.4% (n = 21 of 98) of standard PTA procedures (p = 0.0557). Bailout stents were implanted in 5.0% (n = 14 of 278) of Ranger DCB procedures and 9.2% (n = 9 of 98) of standard PTA procedures (p = 0.1407).

Procedural success (i.e., residual stenosis of \leq 50% [nonstented] or \leq 30% [stented] by core laboratory evaluation) did not differ significantly between the study arms (96.8% [n = 269 of 278] Ranger DCB vs. 99.0% [n = 97 of 98] standard PTA; 2-sided Fisher exact test p = 0.4644).

EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY. As shown in Table 3, the Ranger DCB group was superior to standard PTA with observed 12-month primary patency rates of 82.9% (n = 194 of 234) and 66.3% (n = 57 of 86), respectively, yielding a difference of 16.6% (95% confidence interval: 5.5% to 27.7%; p = 0.0013). The conclusion was unaltered in sensitivity analysis in which missing 12-month primary patency data from 44 patients in the Ranger DCB arm and 12 patients in the PTA arm were imputed as failures, yielding rates of 69.8% (n = 194 of 278) and 58.2% (n = 57 of 98) for the Ranger DCB and PTA arms, respectively (p = 0.0357). The primary patency rate curves also showed significant separation by Kaplan-Meier analysis (log-rank p = 0.0005) (Central Illustration). Cumulative incidence functions of primary patency failure are shown in Supplemental Figure 1.

For the safety analysis, 12-month freedom from MAE was 94.1% (n = 241 of 256) for the Ranger DCB group and 83.5% (n = 76 of 91) for the standard PTA group, with a difference of 10.6% (95% confidence interval: 2.5% to 18.8%; noninferiority p < 0.0001).

MAEs comprised mainly TLR in both study arms (**Table 3**). All TLRs were clinically driven. The Kaplan-Meier estimate of freedom from TLR was 94.5% for the Ranger DCB group and 83.6% for standard PTA at 365 days (**Figure 2**), with significant separation between the study arms (log-rank p = 0.0007).

The all-cause mortality rate was 1.9% (n = 5 of 259) for the Ranger DCB versus 2.2% (n = 2 of 93) for PTA (p > 0.99) through day 365; 1 patient died on day 366 (within the 12-month visit window) and did not complete the 12-month visit, as depicted in Figure 1.

CLINICAL OUTCOME ASSESSMENTS. Hemodynamic improvement, evidenced by improved ABI, occurred significantly more often in the Ranger DCB group (**Table 3**). Primary sustained clinical improvement was observed in significantly more patients in the

Ranger DCB group at 12 months (**Table 3**) and 85.7% (n = 215 of 251) of patients in the Ranger DCB group, and 74.7% (n = 68 of 91) of those in the PTA group had Rutherford classification of 0 or 1 (Figure 3).

Six-minute walk test and overall Walking Impairment Questionnaire scores as well as component speed, distance, and stair climbing scores improved significantly from baseline in both groups (Supplemental Tables 1 and 2) but the degree of improvement did not differ significantly between the groups (Table 3).

More than one-half of patients showed improvement by at least 1 category in the EQ-5D mobility and pain dimensions at 12 months (Table 3), with no significant differences between groups. The distributions of problems in each dimension are summarized in Supplemental Tables 3 and 4.

MEDICATION ASSESSMENT. Dual antiplatelet therapy through 30 days was reported for 84.2% (n = 230 of 273) of patients in the Ranger DCB group and 82.5% (n = 80 of 97) in the control PTA group (p = 0.6837); decreasing to 58.1% (n = 143 of 246) and 68.9% (n = 62 of 90), respectively, at 12 months (p = 0.0733). At 12 months, 82.9% (n = 204 of 246) of Ranger DCBtreated patients and 84.4% (n = 76 of 90) of control PTA patients reported acetylsalicylic acid use with or without additional anticoagulation therapy (p = 0.7410).

PHARMACOKINETICS SUBSTUDY. A total of 12 patients were enrolled in the pharmacokinetics substudy. Their mean treated lesion length was 154.2 ± 92.8 mm. Plasma paclitaxel levels were less than the limit of quantification (i.e., <1 ng/ml) for 11 of 12 patients by the 1-h time point following DCB removal and for all patients by 3 h. One patient died 79 days post-procedure and the death was adjudicated as noncardiovascular.

DISCUSSION

The RANGER II SFA study showed significantly better primary patency and MAE rates with the Ranger DCB compared with uncoated PTA. The significant difference in primary sustained clinical improvement suggests that although improvement in Rutherford category was similar between the treatment arms, fewer reinterventions were required to achieve it for the Ranger DCB group.

These results are consistent with those of previously reported clinical studies of Ranger DCB. The randomized first-in-human study conducted in Europe (17) also showed a significantly better primary patency rate for Ranger DCB than for PTA, at a

TABLE 3 Full Cohort Clinical Outcomes 12 Months After Balloon Catheter Treatment

	Ranger DCB (n = 278)	Standard PTA (n = 98)	p Value
Primary patency*	82.9 (194/234)	66.3 (57/86)	0.0013
Major adverse events All-cause death at 1 month Target limb major amputation TLR Clinically driven	5.9 (15/256) 0.4 (1/256) 0.0 (0/256) 5.5 (14/256) 5.5 (14/256)	16.5 (15/91) 0.0 (0/91) 0.0 (0/91) 16.5 (15/91) 16.5 (15/91)	0.0020 1.0000* Undef 0.0011 0.0011
Hemodynamic improvement†	80.0 (200/250)	67.9 (57/84)	0.0222
Primary sustained clinical improvement‡	87.6 (220/251)	75.8 (69/91)	0.0076
Walking Impairment Questionnaire, change from baseline Distance Speed Stair climbing Walking impairment	$\begin{array}{l} 23.52 \pm 36.38 \\ 15.02 \pm 26.69 \\ 18.62 \pm 37.58 \\ 32.29 \pm 39.40 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 28.22 \pm 34.83 \\ 15.18 \pm 28.47 \\ 14.93 \pm 34.62 \\ 34.72 \pm 36.85 \end{array}$	0.2886 0.9627 0.4183 0.6101
6-min walk test, change from baseline, m§	$\textbf{36.3} \pm \textbf{162.2}$	$\textbf{46.1} \pm \textbf{115.4}$	0.6056
EQ-5D dimension improvement Mobility Self-care Usual activities Pain/discomfort Anxiety/depression	56.3 (139/247) 10.5 (26/247) 38.1 (94/247) 53.4 (132/247) 23.9 (59/247)	53.3 (48/90) 15.6 (14/90) 45.6 (41/90) 56.7 (51/90) 24.4 (22/90)	0.6307 0.2066 0.2139 0.5990 0.9156

Values are % (n/N) or mean \pm SD. *Primary patency defined as duplex ultrasound peak systolic velocity ratio =2.4 in the absence of clinically driven TLR. †Improvement of ABI by \ge 0.1 compared with the pre-procedure value or to an ABI \ge 0.90 without repeat revascularization. ‡Improvement in Rutherford classification by 1 or more categories compared with pre-procedure without repeat TLR. §Total distance walked. || Improving by at least 1 category from baseline.

ABI = ankle-brachial index; TLR = target lesion revascularization; other abbreviations as in Tables 1 and 2.

magnitude (86%) similar to the global RCT reported here (82.9%). The primary patency rate is also similar to that observed in the Ranger arm of the head-tohead COMPARE study (83.0%) (18). In the COMPARE study, the lower-dose Ranger DCB demonstrated noninferior efficacy and safety compared with IN.PACT DCB at 12 months.

The study designs and patient populations represented in the randomized trials supporting U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval of other paclitaxelcoated DCBs (3-5) were similar to those represented in the RANGER II SFA study and provide further context for these results, although comparisons between studies are inherently limited. The difference in 12-month primary patency values between DCB and PTA arms across these trials range from 12.6% to 26.2% (3-6), and the RANGER II study results are within this range with a between-arm difference of 16.6%, and a relatively high primary patency rate for the PTA arm. The rate of bailout stent use was consistent with the other noted pivotal trials (range 4.7% to 9%) (3-5) and less than that which occurred in the Ranger DCB first-in-human study and other recent RCTs (14% to 27.8%) (6,17,18). Ranger DCB properties support a therapeutic effect in line with other paclitaxel-coated DCBs, with a good safety



profile and limited systemic exposure as shown by the pharmacokinetics substudy.

Evidence regarding mortality following treatment with paclitaxel-coated devices continues to accumulate. Longer-term mortality data for the class remains limited by the lack of pre-planned mortality endpoints, but updated mortality analyses from longrunning randomized trials show no significant difference between paclitaxel-coated and PTA arms through 3 years (21,22) or 5 years (23,24), and recent real-world





analyses suggest no excess risk versus uncoated devices (25-27), and a reduced mortality risk in some cases (27). Notably, death incidence did not differ significantly between patients treated with drugcoated versus uncoated devices in recently published analysis from the randomized SWEDEPAD (Swedish Drug-elution Trial in Peripheral Arterial Disease) study of 2,289 patients with complete vital status ascertainment through more than 2 years (28). An increased mortality risk was identified in an independent patient-level analyses of 8 randomized trials (29). The authors acknowledged several major limitations of their analysis, including questionable adequacy of the number of death events to evaluate an unplanned safety endpoint, a lack of comorbidity data, and residual 10% loss to follow-up after efforts to recover vital status data. The reported follow-up period for the RANGER II SFA study is only 1 year, but longer-term follow-up from ongoing studies such as this one that were not included in the aforementioned analyses will provide new independent information.

STUDY LIMITATIONS. Like many other studies of drug-coated balloons for the femoropopliteal segment, generalization of these trial results is limited by the mainly TASC (Trans-Atlantic Inter-Society Consensus) II A/B population represented and exclusion of longer lesions and patients with chronic renal

disease. Non-Caucasian patient groups were generally under-represented, although Japanese patients comprised 27% of study enrollees. Excluding adjunctive therapies more cleanly demonstrates the therapeutic effect of DCB treatment, but predictions about the DCB effect in more challenging disease or with complementary treatments are limited. The 3:1 randomization scheme was accounted for in the primary endpoint analyses, but also gives each individual in the PTA arm greater weight in the baseline characteristic calculations and secondary analyses. The baseline distributions of calcification severity and occlusion prevalence are statistically imbalanced despite randomization. However, the primary patency rate for the RANGER II PTA arm was numerically greater than the PTA arms of other studies and the TLR rate was similar, suggesting that the baseline imbalance did not disproportionately disadvantage the PTA arm and thus produce an exaggerated treatment effect. Limitations associated with the short 1-year follow-up period will be mitigated with longer term follow-up planned through 5 years.

CONCLUSIONS

In the RANGER II SFA study, the low-dose Ranger DCB demonstrated significantly better effectiveness

than standard PTA through 1 year, with fewer reinterventions and a good safety profile.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS Analyses from the RANGER II SFA study were presented at VIVA 19, Las Vegas, Nevada, November 4 to 7, 2019, and LINC 2020, Leipzig, Germany, January 28 to 31, 2020. The authors thank the following Boston Scientific employees for their assistance: H. Terry Liao, PhD, designed the statistical analysis plan; Phil Ghizoni, MBA, and Clayton Miller managed the clinical trial; and Elizabeth J. Davis, PhD, provided medical writing assistance.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

The RANGER II SFA trial was funded by Boston Scientific, Dr. Sachar has served in the last 24 months as a consultant, advisory board member for, and received compensation for, educational programs from Boston Scientific and Medtronic; has received funds for research or clinical trials from Abbott Vascular, Bard Peripheral Vascular, Cook Medical, W.L. Gore and Associates, Medtronic, Terumo, and Veryan; and is a major shareholder of Contego Medical. Drs. Soga and Lopez have served as advisors to Boston Scientific. Dr. Ansari has served as an advisory board member for Boston Scientific, Medtronic, and Cordis; served as a steering committee member for Philips; received compensation for educational programs from Edwards, Gore, Bard, and Boston Scientific: and received funds for research or clinical trials from Abbott, Boston Scientific, and Bard. Dr. Brodmann has received honoraria from Abbott Vascular, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Cook Medical, Medtronic, Philips-Spectranetics, and Shockwave; and served as a consultant for Boston Scientific, Cook Medical, Medtronic, Spectranetics, Intact Vascular, Shockwave, and Cagent. Dr. Schroë has served in the last 24 months as a consultant and advisory board member for Philips; received compensation for educational programs from Abbott and Boston Scientific; and has served as a consultant for Abbott, Bard, B. Braun, Biotronik, Cook Medical, Medtronic, and Philips, Dr. Ramanath has received honoraria from Shockwave Medical, Cardiovascular Systems, and Boston Scientific; and has received compensation for educational programs from Boston Scientific and Terumo Medical. Dr. Diaz-Cartelle is an employee of and owns stock in Boston Scientific. Dr. Zeller has received honoraria from Abbott Vascular, Veryan, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Cook Medical, W.L.

Gore and Associates, Medtronic, Philips-Spectranetics, TriReme, Veryan, Shockwave, Biotronik, B. Braun, and Efemoral; has served as a consultant for Boston Scientific, Cook Medical, W.L. Gore and Associates, Medtronic, Spectranetics, Veryan, Intact Vascular, and Veryan; and owns common stock in QT Medical. Dr. Kozuki has reported that she has no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Ravish Sachar, North Carolina Heart and Vascular Hospital, UNC-REX Health Care, 2800 Blue Ridge Road, Suite 550, Raleigh, North Carolina 27613, USA. E-mail: ravish.sachar@unchealth.unc.edu.

PERSPECTIVES

WHAT IS KNOWN? Paclitaxel-coated balloons have consistently demonstrated improved efficacy compared with uncoated PTA for femoropopliteal peripheral artery disease, but varied device coating characteristics may contribute to within-class performance.

WHAT IS NEW? Patients with chronic symptomatic lower limb ischemia who receive treatment with a low-dose DCB retained vessel patency and clinical improvement while undergoing fewer reinterventions than patients receiving non-drug-based treatment through 1 year.

WHAT IS NEXT? Additional research is needed to translate findings regarding low-dose paclitaxelcoated balloon treatment from controlled studies to real-world patient populations and typical practice conditions. Longer-term follow-up from ongoing studies will contribute to the risk evaluation of paclitaxel-containing peripheral devices.

REFERENCES

1. Caradu C, Lakhlifi E, Colacchio EC, et al. Systematic review and updated meta-analysis of the use of drug-coated balloon angioplasty versus plain old balloon angioplasty for femoropopliteal arterial disease. J Vasc Surg 2019; 70:981–95.e10.

2. Kayssi A, Al-Atassi T, Oreopoulos G, Roche-Nagle G, Tan KT, Rajan DK. Drug-eluting balloon angioplasty versus uncoated balloon angioplasty for peripheral arterial disease of the lower limbs. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;8:CD011319.

3. Tepe G, Laird J, Schneider P, et al. Drugcoated balloon versus standard percutaneous transluminal angioplasty for the treatment of superficial femoral and/or popliteal peripheral artery disease: 12-month results from the IN. PACT SFA randomized trial. Circulation 2015;131: 495-502.

4. Rosenfield K, Jaff MR, White CJ, et al. Trial of a paclitaxel-coated balloon for femo-ropopliteal artery disease. N Engl J Med 2015; 373:145-53.

5. Krishnan P, Faries P, Niazi K, et al. Stellarex Drug-Coated balloon for treatment of femoropopliteal disease: 12-month outcomes from the randomized ILLUMENATE pivotal and pharmacokinetic studies. Circulation 2017;136:1102-13.

6. Schroeder H, Werner M, Meyer DR, et al. Low-dose paclitaxel-coated versus uncoated percutaneous transluminal balloon angioplasty for femoropopliteal peripheral artery disease: 1-year results of the ILLUMENATE European randomized clinical trial. Circulation 2017;135:2227-36. **7.** Laird JA, Schneider PA, Jaff MR, et al. Longterm clinical effectiveness of a drug-coated balloon for the treatment of femoropopliteal lesions. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2019;12:e007702.

8. Brodmann M, Werner M, Meyer DR, et al. Sustainable antirestenosis effect with a low-dose drug-coated balloon: the ILLUMENATE European randomized clinical trial 2-year results. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2018;11:2357-64.

9. Aboyans V, Ricco JB, Bartelink MEL, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS): document covering atherosclerotic disease of extracranial carotid and vertebral, mesenteric, renal, upper and lower extremity arteriesEndorsed by: the European Stroke Organization (ESO)The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). Eur Heart J 2017;39:763-816.

10. Feldman DN, Armstrong EJ, Aronow HD, et al. SCAI consensus guidelines for device selection in femoral-popliteal arterial interventions. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2018;92:124–40.

11. Granada JF, Stenoien M, Buszman PP, et al. Mechanisms of tissue uptake and retention of paclitaxel-coated balloons: impact on neointimal proliferation and healing. Open Heart 2014;1: e000117.

12. Giacoppo D, Cassese S, Harada Y, et al. Drugcoated balloon versus plain balloon angioplasty for the treatment of femoropopliteal artery disease: an updated systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized clinical trials. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2016;9:1731-42.

13. Gongora CA, Shibuya M, Wessler JD, et al. Impact of paclitaxel dose on tissue pharmacokinetics and vascular healing: a comparative drug-coated balloon study in the familial hypercholesterolemic swine model of superficial femoral in-stent restenosis. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2015;8:1115–23.

14. Boitet A, Grassin-Delyle S, Louedec L, et al. An experimental study of paclitaxel embolisation during drug coated balloon angioplasty. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2019;57:578–86.

15. Torii S, Jinnouchi H, Sakamoto A, et al. Comparison of biologic effect and particulate embolization after femoral artery treatment with three drug-coated balloons in healthy swine model. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2019;30:103-9.

16. Bausback Y, Willfort-Ehringer A, Sievert H, et al. Six-month results from the initial random-

ized study of the Ranger paclitaxel-coated balloon. J Endovasc Ther 2017;24:459-67.

17. Steiner S, Willfort-Ehringer A, Sievert H, et al. 12-Month results from the first-in-human randomized study of the Ranger paclitaxel-coated balloon for femoropopliteal treatment. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2018;11:934–41.

18. Steiner S, Schmidt A, Zeller T, et al. COMPARE: prospective, randomized, non-inferiority trial of high- vs. low-dose paclitaxel drug-coated balloons for femoropopliteal interventions. Eur Heart J 2020;41:2541-52.

19. Rutherford RB, Baker JD, Ernst C, et al. Recommended standards for reports dealing with lower extremity ischemia: revised version. J Vasc Surg 1997;26:517-38.

20. Zeller T, Baumgartner I, Scheinert D, et al. Drug-eluting balloon versus standard balloon angioplasty for infrapopliteal arterial revascularization in critical limb ischemia: 12-month results from the IN.PACT DEEP randomized trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;64:1568-76.

21. Gray WA, Jaff MR, Parikh SA, et al. Mortality assessment of paclitaxel-coated balloons: patient-level meta-analysis of the ILLUMENATE clinical program at 3 years. Circulation 2019;140:1145-55.

22. Hess CN, Patel MR, Bauersachs RM, et al. Long-term safety of drug-coated devices for peripheral artery revascularization: insights from VOYAGER-PAD. Presented at: TCT Connect 2020 Late-Breaking Clinical Trials and Science, October 18, 2020.

23. Schneider PA, Laird JR, Doros G, et al. Mortality not correlated with paclitaxel exposure: an independent patient-level meta-analysis of a drug-coated balloon. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73: 2550-63. **24.** Ouriel K, Adelman MA, Rosenfield K, et al. Safety of paclitaxel-coated balloon angioplasty for femoropopliteal peripheral artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2019;12: 2515–24.

25. Secemsky EA, Kundi H, Weinberg I, et al. Drugeluting stent implantation and long-term survival following peripheral artery revascularization. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:2636–8.

26. Secemsky EA, Kundi H, Weinberg I, et al. Association of survival with femoropopliteal artery revascularization with drug-coated devices. JAMA Cardiol 2019;4:332-40.

27. Behrendt CA, Sedrakyan A, Peters F, et al. Editor's choice - Long term survival after femoropopliteal artery revascularisation with paclitaxel coated devices: a propensity score matched cohort analysis. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2020;59: 587-96.

28. Nordanstig J, James S, Andersson M, et al. Mortality with paclitaxel-coated devices in peripheral artery disease. N Engl J Med 2020;383: 2538–46.

29. Rocha-Singh KJ, Duval S, Jaff MR, et al. Mortality and paclitaxel-coated devices: an individual patient data meta-analysis. Circulation 2020;141: 1859–69.

KEY WORDS drug-coated balloon, paclitaxel, peripheral arterial disease, superficial femoral artery, vascular patency

APPENDIX For an expanded Methods section as well as supplemental tables and a figure, please see the online version of this paper.